

MOTION BY SUPERVISOR GLORIA MOLINA

October 11, 2005

AMENDMENT TO ITEM NO.105

On November 8, 2005, various statewide propositions will appear on the ballot. Several initiatives—were they to be approved by voters—would have significant, negative ramifications on Los Angeles County operations.

Although passage of Proposition 75 would not cost the County significant funding to implement, it would have major—and unfair—ramifications on union operations. One of the ramifications is to establish a rigid standard for unions but not for other organizations, such as business corporations.

Proposition 76, the “California Live Within Our Means Act” has the potential to significantly affect County finances and operations by interrupting the flow of funds supporting vital services, and potentially shifting costs to the County. For example, if Proposition 76 was in effect during Fiscal Year 2003-2004, the spending limit would have reduced the County’s share of Realignment funds by approximately \$38 million.

MOTION

Burke	_____
Yaroslavsky	_____
Knabe	_____
Antonovich	_____
Molina	_____

Proposition 77, which is primarily intended to change the redistricting process, would not allow sufficient time to assign voters to new precincts in their new legislative district in time for the June 6, 2006 Primary election and would result in one-time costs of approximately half a million dollars.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors officially oppose Propositions 75, 76, and 77.

LO/aa